

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/766,104	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RHEE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> BLESSING M. FUBARA	<b>Art Unit</b> 1613	

**All Participants:**

(1) BLESSING M. FUBARA.

(2) Hai Han.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 September 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*3, 37, 42 and 45.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to cancel claims 3, 37 because the compositions in these claims appear to have a step of cross-linking that does not reflect in-situ cross-linking. Claims 42 and 45 depending from claim 37 were also agreed to be canceled. Applicant may pursue the embodiment of claims 3 and 35 in different applications.